COMMISSIONERS

Sandra D. Kennedy

IN THE MATTER OF THE FORMAL

COMMISSION ON DECEMBER 5, 2008

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION

APPROVAL OF THE ESTABLISHMENT

OF JUST AND REASONABLE RATES

REALIZE A REASONABLE RATE OF

RETURN ON THE FAIR VALUE OF THE

PROPERTIES OF UNS ELECTRIC, INC.

COMPLAINT OF MARSHALL

MAGRUDER FILED WITH THE ARIZONA CORPORATION

OF UNS ELECTRIC, INC. FOR

AND CHARGES DESIGNED TO

Kristin K. Mayes

Gary Pierce

Bob Stump

Paul Newman

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION



4

6 7

8 9 10

12 13 14

11

15 16 17

18

19 20 21

22 23 24

25 26 27

29 30 31

28

33

32

34 35

RECEIVED

2009 SEP 15 P 3: 13

DOCKET CONTROL

Anzora Completion Commission DUMBER

DOCKETED BY

Docket No. E-04204A-08-0589

Request to Reschedule a Procedural Conference

9 September 2009

On 2 September 2009, the Commission filed a Procedural Order that, among other things, scheduled a Procedural Conference on October 13, at 10.00 a.m., at the Commission's Tucson Offices, Room 222.

Unfortunately, I have made plans for a year to attend the wedding in Portland. Oregon, on 10 October 2009, leaving Arizona on or about 30 September and not returning until about 16 October 2009. We are driving with several days of business en route.

Last week, in response to an ACC Staff email, I sent to UNS Electric, Data Request One (see Enclosure), with a response due on 14 September 2009, and suggested that UNS Electric, ACC Staff and myself consider getting together a week or so later, based on the response from UNS Electric.

Respectfully, request this Procedural Conference be held after 22 September and prior to 29 September or after 19 October 2009.

I certify this filing has been mailed to all known parties on the Service List this date. Respectfully submitted on this 9th day of September 2009.

austall Maxad

MARSHALL MAGRUDER

Enclosure 1

From: Marshall Magruder < mmagruder@earthlink.net >

Date: Mon, 31 Aug 2009 00:02:11 -0700

To: Kevin Torrey < KTorrey@azcc.gov>, < mlivengood@tep.com>

Cc: Prem Bahl < PBahl@azcc.gov >, Jaime Fontes < ifontes@cityofnogales.net >, John Maynard

<imaynard@co.santa-cruz.az.us>, <JLMachado@cityofnogales.net>

Subject: Re: Magruder Formal Complaint, ACC Docket No. E-04204A-08-0589

Kevin Torrey, ACC Staff, Investigator for the Magruder Formal Complaint

I remember Judge Rodda saying she was going to take all of this under advisement and we'd see the results in a Procedural Order; however, I may be mistaken, as I can't afford transcripts, only my notes and memory are available. Also, UNS Electric's Michelle Livengood was going to investigate further the causes of underground cable failures in the 12 underground projects.

Attached is a copy of my Status Report provided at the last meeting on 23 July 2009.

With respect to replacement of defective utility poles.

First, I find it absolutely amazing that there is NO log or list of when poles are replaced (or date of manufacture) by this Company and the lack of such information may imply this Company is unable to accurately determine depreciation for these structures. This brings up unintended concerns of possible fraud in both rate cases and corporate income tax returns.

Second, as testified during recent line siting hearings, the Company does not have a process to determine when a utility pole requires replacement other than visual deterioration or age. During these hearings, I provided an article to the Company concerning several pole replacement processes that save over 20% cost by not replacing "structurally sound" poles. Various pole replacement tests and procedures should be used but none were for pole replacement of the 115 kV transmission line and from discussions, it appears none exist for any pole (and probably underground cable) replacements.

I have received no information from the Company concerning the analysis of the underground cable failures reported during the July Status Meeting.

During this meeting, I discussed with Ms Livengood that maybe we could use Data Request as a way to approach closure, thus I included Data Request Set One below and attached. Request UNSE response to be received by 13 September (two weeks, a bit longer than usual 10 calendar days). Via email is acceptable. Also recommend that a copy of UNS Electric responses include ACC Staff investigators on distribution. The term "correspondence" means all documents including email and phone notes.

Suggest we hold another Status Meeting in about three weeks in Tucson, date TBD, after all have had time to review the UNS Electric's DR response.

Sincerely,

32 Marshall Magruder

33 PO Box 1267 Tubac, AZ 85646

34 | 520.398.8587

35

26

27 28

29

30

<u>marshall@magruder.org</u>

Marshall Magruder Data Request Set No. One to UNS Electric (requested on 31 August 2009)

RE: ACC Docket No. E-04204A-08-0589

Issue 1, <u>Meeting the Requirements of Article 9 of the City of Nogales Settlement Agreement concerning Student Loans.</u>

MM DR 1-1. Please provide a copy of all correspondence sent to and received from the City of Nogales concerning this issue.

MM DR 1-2. Please provide the status on the present negotiations concerning this issue to include with whom UNS Electric is conducting negotiations, copies of all correspondence and the latest draft and/or proposed agreements.

MM DR 1-3. During the 23 July 2009 review conference with the ALJ and all parties, the Magruder Status Report quoted significant parts of the Settlement Agreement, including that severability of any section of the Agreement was not permitted. To resolve this issue, I stated, and hope it was understood, that this party would accept and help with negotiating any agreement that met the conditions of Article 9, to avoid the "severability" issue. Such an Agreement could then describe how Article 9 was being implemented. What is the company's position on this statement?

MM DR 1-4. Will the Company provide a draft version of a "UNS Electric Student Loan Program Description and Application" and a "UNS Electric Student Loan Agreement", or similar documents, to this party for review and comment? If not, is the Company willing to collaborate with this party in developing such documents?

MM DR 1-5. A concern was raised concerning the "standing" of this party in this issue. Please explain why an appointed Energy Commissioner by the Mayor of the City of Nogales can be interpreted as not having "standing" on the implementation of the Settlement Agreement? It is noted, I routinely report to the City Manager, City Council, and Attorney on this and many other energy-related issues. Nothing has changed my status from representing this City since January 2001, when so appointed.

Issue 2, Replacement of Defective Utility Poles and Underground Cables in 32 Projects.

- MM DR 2-1. What is the process being used to determine when a utility pole requires replacement? If age is a determination, where is such information found, and please provide a copy?
- MM DR 2-2. How many utility poles have been annually replaced since August 2003?
- MM DR 2-3. What is the process being used to determine when an underground cable requires replacement? If age is a determination, where is such information found, and please provide a copy?

MM DR 2-4. How many feet of underground cable have been annually replaced since August 2003?

MM DR 2-5. Please provide a copy of the annual distribution reliability index values for each Santa Cruz service area feeder distribution line, using IEEE Std 1688, since August 2003. A copy of the report submitted to the ACC is adequate.

MM DR 2-6. Please provide a copy of the annual "Service Outage Map" and associated service outage reports provided to the City of Nogales in accordance with Section 11(a) of the UNS Electric Franchise agreement with the City of Nogales (excerpt below) since approval of the Franchise Agreement in 2005.

MM DR 2-7. How does UNS Electric determine the annual depreciation for installed utility poles and underground cables in the Santa Cruz service area? Please provide a copy of the process used and how this was determined for either the ongoing or prior UNSE rate cases.

Issue 3, Notification of those on Life Support during an Electrical Outage.

MM DR 3-1. Since the Arizona Administrative Code R14-2-203(A)(1)(j) permits utility companies to obtain information from customers on "type and kind of life-support equipment, if any, used by the customer", does UNS Electric still believe this is legally not permitted (please note R14-2-203(A)2 permits "law enforcement or pubic agency" to request this information)? If so, please explain the rationale for law enforcement not being allowed to collect this life-support data.

MM DR 3-2. If a County Ordinance was approved that required UNS Electric to notify the County Sheriff and City of Nogales Police Department of all customers that have life support equipment, whenever any of these customers have an outage, would there be any problem with complying? If so, please explain.

MM DR 3-3. Please provide a copy of all correspondence sent to and received from the Santa Cruz Sheriff and City of Nogales Police Department concerning this issue?

MM DR 3-4. Does UNS Electric have a draft Memorandum of Understanding that it would want to use to start negotiations with these two organizations that operate the Dispatch Centers in this county? If not, would the Company agree to collaborate and develop such a MOU with the goal to implement such a program?

Excerpt from the City of Nogales Franchise Agreement with UNS Electric. Quote:

SECTION 11. RELIABILITY OF UTILITY SERVICE.

(a) Service Outage Map. On an annual basis, the Company shall provide to the City a report of all service outages that last for longer than one (1) hour, technical upgrades made to its distribution system, and efforts made to improve the reliability of the distribution system. End quote